**Clinical Follow up Plexr Report** 

1) Purpose and scope of investigation on MD Plexr (produced by the company GMV, Rome):

As part of the post-sales monitoring procedure it is conducted a review of the performance and

features of the device in adversarial with the customer. The evidence gathered forms the basis for a

critical evaluation of the MD for the purpose of possible improvements. In the event that the

information gathered, possibly combined with other information related to the technological

evolution of the market, prove essential for the purposes of clinical evaluation, it will be updated

annually.

As part of the clinical follow-up procedure, as a result of the treatment, all patients enrolled in

clinical investigation should be followed by the clinical contact person for a period of at least 6

months post-treatment.

The clinical investigation shown here, conducted by Dr. LAURA TEALDI (Surgeon at her own

private practice) has the dual purpose of:

• Define the compliance with the technical specifications of the product, based on clinical

indications provided by the manufacturer;

• Evaluate the effectiveness, practicality, the risk/benefit and safety of the MD.

2) Materials and methods

Use of Plexr (manufactured by the company GMV, Rome). For the non-surgical upper

Blepharoplasty exceeding 3-4 sessions at a distance of at least 40 days apart. For the non-surgical

lower Blepharoplasty lower than 1-2 sessions at a distance of at least 40 days apart.

As for removing pendulous fibroids, hemangiomas, xanthelasma, actinic and senile keratosis, age

spots usually just one sitting.

MEDICAL PRACTICE

Via Savonarola, 238-35137 Padova - Tel. +39 049.8724527

VAT no. 03381340284 email <u>laura.tealdi@gmail.com</u>

## Clinical assessment and results for Plexr equipment:

Disease treated  (Blemish brief  description)	Types of patients (Number, sex, age range)	Relevant aspects (% Positive results)	Unexpected events and assessing possible causes (% Negative results)	Duration of the treatment	Final result (check-up at 6 months/1 year)
Non-surgical lower Blepharoplasty	170 patients 165 ♀ and 5 ♂ (30-75 years)	90% very satisfied 10% poor/null outcome	Stubborn marks for over 4 months in 30% of cases	3-4 sessions	Positive Visible wrinkle/skin laxity reduction and increased skin tone, without any side effects
Non-surgical lower Blepharoplasty	30 patients 26 ♀ and 4 ♂ (45-60 years)	100% satisfactory outcome	Redness and marks in 50% of cases	1-2 sessions	Positive Visible wrinkle/skin laxity reduction and increased skin tone, without any side effects

Removal of skin marks	70 patients 70 ♀ (50-80 years)	100% positive	Failed to 1st intervention because deep dermal mark	1-2 sessions	Positive  Complete removal of the imperfection without the onset of side effects
Removal of actinic and senile keratosis	40 patients 40 ♀ (60-80 years)	100% positive	none	1 session	Positive  Complete removal of the imperfection without the onset of side effects
Removal of angioma	20 patients 20 ♀ (40-60 years)	98% positive	none	1-2 sessions	Positive Complete removal of the imperfection without the onset of side effects

Removal of pendulous fibroids	110 patients 90 ♀ and 20 ♂ (30-80 years)	100% positive	none	1 session	Positive Complete removal of the imperfection without the onset of side effects
Removal of xanthelasma	10 patients 8 ♀ and 2 ♂ (45-55 years)	90% positive	none	1-2 sessions	Positive Complete removal of the imperfection without the onset of side effects

#### 3) Interface arrangement with the company GMV

The clinical reference will publish this detailed report with the evidence found; if the clinical reference detects unexpected events, he must communicate the same to the Clinical Specialist of GMV Eng. Sara Ciocca, who will assess with their collaboration if such evidence should lead to a revision of the analysis of risks and/or changes to the MD.

Surgeon

Mobile +39 338.160 65 66

The document references Risk Management File Plexr.pdf are shown below:

#### 2. CHEMICAL - BIOLOGICAL HAZARDS AND CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

(Bio-contamination - bio-incompatibility - incorrect formulation - toxicity - allergenicity - mutagenicity - oncogenicity - teratogenicity - carcinogenicity - re-infection and/or cross-infection - pyrogenicity - inability to maintain hygienic safety - degradation)

2	DANGEROUS PHENOMENON (Source risk)	SEQUENCE OF PREDICTABLE EVENTS	DANGEROUS SITUATION (Consequence)	DAMAGE
A. Using the pa	material harmful to	<ul> <li>Non bio-compatible         material used in contact         with the patient</li> <li>Material containing         phthalates</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Handpieces and accessories made from non bio-compatible material</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Irritation and allergic phenomena to the patient</li> </ul>
_	nt infection for use of minated accessories	<ul> <li>handpieces contamination</li> <li>use of non-sterile needle</li> <li>improper packing</li> </ul>	• use of contaminated accessories in contact with the patient	<ul><li>patient infection</li></ul>

# 4. HAZARDS RESULTING FROM IMPROPER OUTPUT OF ENERGY AND SUBSTANCES

(Electricity - radiation - volume - pressure - supply of medical gases - supply of anaesthetic agents)

 $Mobile +39\ 338.160\ 65\ 66$ 

4 Electr	DANGEROUS PHENOMENON (Source risk)	SEQUENCE OF PREDICTABLE EVENTS	DANGEROUS SITUATION (Consequence)	DAMAGE
e	electric current emission patient out of tolerance	Component fault  Poor environmental conditions  First system failure	Control system failure Ineffective treatment	<ul><li>Patient injury</li><li>Ineffective treatment</li></ul>

# 2. HAZARDS ARISING FROM FUNCTIONAL FAILURE, MAINTENANCE AND AGEING AND CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

Transfer of incorrect data - lack of specifications or inadequate specifications for maintenance - improper maintenance - lack of proper determination of the end of life of the medical device - electrical/mechanical integrity loss - inadequate packaging - re-use and/or improper re-use - deterioration

7	DANGEROUS PHENOMENON (Source risk)	SEQUENCE OF PREDICTABLE EVENTS	DANGEROUS SITUATION (Consequence)	DAMAGE
	nadequate cleaning ntenance)	<ul> <li>Using aggressive         agents that can ruin         parts of the equipment</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Equipment damage</li><li>Patient infections through accessories</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Equipment surface alterations</li> <li>Patient infection (see point 2 risk analysis)</li> </ul>

Dr. Laura Tealdi

Surgeon

Mobile +39 338.160 65 66

4) Benefit/Risk assessment

The clinical study showed that the risks assessed during the analysis of the risks and associated with

the use of the device are as follows:

Irritation and allergic phenomena to the patient

Patient infection

In clinical practice these are unlikely.

The treatment of 450 patients by the use of the medical device Plexr did not cause the onset of any

adverse event.

So the device is believed to be effective and safe for clinical applications assessed in the present

study and intended by the manufacturer GMV Srl

Signature and stamp of the doctor

Dr. Laura Tealdi